Texas State Affordable Housing Corporation Compliance Review Observation Report

| | Tealwood Place Apartments | | | |
|-----|--|---------------|-----------|-----|
| | 5300 Professional Drive Wichita Falls, TX 76302 | 2 | | |
| | Owner: Dalcor Affordable Housing I, LLC | | | |
| | Inspection Date/Time: July 23, 2014 at 8:30 a.m | 1. | | |
| | Inspector's Name: James Matias | | | |
| Nu | | of required V | LI units: | NA |
| | COMPLIANCE AUDIT | YES | NO | N/A |
| 1) | Are procedures that ensure compliance with the set aside requirements and rent requirements effective? | x | | |
| 2) | Is the property accepting Section 8 households? | Х | | |
| 3) | Is the income to rent ratio for Section 8 households less than 2.5? | Х | | |
| 4) | Are the rent increases smaller than 5%? | х | | |
| 5) | Is there any discriminatory language on the Application for Tenancy or Occupancy Qualifications? | | x | |
| 6) | Does the lease or rental agreement inform the resident of Very Low Income/Low Income and Recertification requirements? | Х | | |
| 7) | Is additional monitoring by TSAHC recommended? | | x | |
| OMN | MENTS: | | | |
| _ | SET-ASIDES | YES | NO | N/A |
| 1) | Do the files reviewed establish that the property is meeting the Low Income residency requirement whereby 75 percent of the units are rented to tenants whose adjusted income is 80 percent or less | x | | |

| 1) | | e files reviewed establish that the property is meeting the Low Income residency requirement | | | |
|----|----------|---|---|---|---|
| | | by 75 percent of the units are rented to tenants whose adjusted income is 80 percent or less median gross income for the Metropolitan Statistical Area where the property is located? | х | | |
| 2) | Do the | e files reviewed establish that the property is meeting the Very Low Income residency ement? | x | | |
| 3) | Are th | e set-aside units evenly distributed? | | | |
| | a) | No more than 60% of the set-aside requirements consist of one unit type? | | | Х |
| | b) | No less than 20% of the set aside requirements consist of any particular unit type? | | | Х |
| 4) | If eithe | er of the set asides have not been met, are any units : | | | |
| | a) | Rented for less than 30 days, not including month-to-month? | | Х | |
| | b) | Utilized as a hotel, motel, dormitory, fraternity house, sorority house, rooming house, nursing home, hospital, sanitarium, rest home, or trailer court or park? | | х | |
| | c) | Leased to a corporation, business or university? | | Х | |
| | d) | Owned by a cooperative housing corporation? | | Х | |
| | e) | Not available for rental on a continuous basis to members of the general public? | | Х | |

| | UNITS WALKED | | | | | |
|--------|---|-------------|----------|--|--|--|
| | (units vacant and unready for extended period of time and all down units) | | | | | |
| | USR New | | | | | |
| Unit # | Designation | Designation | Comments | | | |
| NA | | | | | | |
| | COMMENTS: | | | | | |

| | RESIDENT SERVICES | YES | NO | N/A |
|----|---|-----|----|-----|
| 1) | Does the programming appear to cater to the resident profile of the property? | Х | | |
| 2) | Does the programming appear to be effective? | Х | | |
| 3) | Is there a resident services notebook available to review? | х | | |

Texas State Affordable Housing Corporation Compliance Review Observation Report

| TSAHC provided Technical assistance regarding: | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| a) Resident attendance | X | | |
| b) Frequency of service provided | X | | |
| c) Transportation provided for off site services | | x | |
| d) Notification to residents of services | | x | |
| e) Number or type of services | Х | | |
| f) Survey of residents | | х | |
| g) On-line reporting | Х | | |
| 5) Does the property comply with the resident services requirement? | X | | |

| OFFICE | YES | NO | N/A |
|--|-----|----|-----|
| 1) Is the office neat, the desk uncluttered? | X | | |
| 2) Are accurate office hours posted? | X | | |
| 3) Are the following displayed in full view: | | | |
| a) Occupancy Qualifications? | X | | |
| b) Fair Housing Poster? | X | | |

| CON | име | NTS: |
|-----|-----|------|
|-----|-----|------|

| | RESIDENT FILE REVIEW | YES | NO | N/A |
|----|---|-----|----|-----|
| 1) | Are there any Next Available Unit Rule Violations? | | Х | |
| | Does the file audit establish that residents are being recertified on an annual basis?(For 100% operties, this does not include income) | x | | |
| | Does the owner maintain all records relating to resident income certifications, together with supporting documentation? | x | | |
| ' | Does the Owner/Agent make an effort to determine that the income certification provided by the resident is accurate? | x | | |
| 5) | Does the file audit indicate that staff needs additional training? | | Х | |

COMMENTS: The most common discrepancies found during the file review included differences between the Tenant Income Certification or Annual Eligibility Certifications and the Unit Status Report. In addition, the reviewer found incorrect asset calculations regarding real estate owned by tenants. However, management was able to submit all of the required corrective action before the completion of this compliance report and they appear to understand the proper handling of the discrepancies mentioned above.

If a new household moves in to any of the units with Findings (listed below), instead of submitting the required Corrective Action documents, submit with your response: the application for tenancy, the verifications, the Income Certification, and the 1st page of the lease for the new household occupying the unit.

| Unit | Finding | Corrective Action Requirement | | | | |
|--|---------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| COMMENTS: Management did a great job correcting all compliance findings prior to the submittal of the compliance report. | | | | | | |

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND OBSERVATIONS

No Observations or Findings